

Sent: Wed, 04 May 2011 08:38:20 -0400
From: Gary G Sick <ggs2@columbia.edu>
To: Gary G Sick <ggs2@columbia.edu>
Subject: killing of bin Laden (vom bruck)
[ATT00001.txt](#)

Date: Wed, 4 May 2011 08:14:47 +0100

From: gabriele vom bruck [REDACTED]

P6/b(6)

In the last few days there have been allegations in the media that Pakistan's side of the story has been inconsistent. But what about the U.S.'s? It has claimed that bin Laden was killed because he resisted capture. A retired Pakistani general told the BBC (3 May) that he heard rumours that bin Laden was no longer able to walk and - as the CIA has confirmed - he was unarmed on the day of the raid. So why were U.S. elite forces unable to arrest an unarmed, quasi-disabled man?

Gabriele vom Bruck

