Sent: Sat, 20 Jun 2009 06:09:54 -0400
From: [redacted] P6/b(6)
To: "Russel, Daniel R." <daniel_r._russel@nsc.eop.gov>, "Russel, Daniel R." <"/o=eop/ou=first administrative group/cn=recipients/cn=daniel_r._russel">
Bcc: "Russel, Daniel R." <"/o=eop/ou=first administrative group/cn=recipients/cn=daniel_r._russel">
Subject: RE: light reading

have to blast out of orbit to New York for a week for final edits on the book. fortunately, thanks to bill gates, I can nag from anywhere in the universe! if not-on-purpose statements get too bad, just order an increase in the medication.

train wreck, anyone?

will call.

-----Original Message-----
>From: "Russel, Daniel R." <Daniel_R._Russel@nsc.eop.gov>
>Sent: Jun 19, 2009 2:23 PM
>To: [redacted]
>Subject: RE: light reading
>
>I'm in the somewhat unfortunate position of knowing which statements were on purpose and which weren't. Nevertheless, glad to talk and feel free to push back on anything President says.
>
>Last email of yours was perfectly timed -- from your blackberry through my fingers to his brief.
>
>I'll read your piece, thanks.
>
>Lunch was fun, call me when its time for a coffee.
>
>D
>
>-----Original Message-----
>From: [redacted]
>Sent: Friday, June 19, 2009 8:39 AM
>To: Russel, Daniel R.
>Subject: light reading
>
>Danny,
>
>I have a few choice words about Washington's recent public line on North Korea (e.g. from the President, SecState, and SecDef) but will spare you those until we have coffee next.
>
>Meanwhile, if you haven't already seen it, maybe you'll find something of interest in the attached. It goes to the point about the myth of the "pattern" of the North's bad behavior.
>
>Hope to see you soon and that all is well,
>
>bc
>
>